Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CHRISTINA BROOKS,

Plaintiff,

v.

CONNIE GIPSON, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. <u>23-cv-00840-HSG</u>

ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR **EXTENSION OF TIME**

Re: Dkt. No. 34

Pending before the Court is Plaintiff Christina Brooks's motion for an extension of time to complete service. See Dkt. No. 34. Plaintiff initially filed this action on February 24, 2023. Dkt. No. 1. She filed an amended complaint on March 3, 2023, against the Director of the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation ("CDCR") and an Associate Warden and Lieutenant at Pelican Bay State Prison. Dkt. No. 8. The Court previously directed Plaintiff to effectuate service and granted her an extension of time to do so. See Dkt. Nos. 14, 28. Plaintiff had until August 4 to complete proper service on Defendants and file the proofs of service on the docket. See Dkt. No. 28. Since then, Plaintiff filed what appear to be proofs of service on the docket on August 11. See Dkt. No. 32. Nevertheless, Plaintiff suggests that service may not be complete yet, see Dkt. No. 34, and Defendants have not appeared in this case as of the date of this order.

The Court previously explained that it would not extend deadlines to accommodate Plaintiff's desire to mail all filings and court documents to Pelican Bay State Prison for her husband's input and review. However, to ensure Plaintiff has sufficient time to serve Defendants, the Court GRANTS the motion for one final extension of time. Plaintiff is DIRECTED to complete proper service on Defendants and file the proofs of service on the docket by October 13, 2023. The Court further **VACATES** the September 26, 2023, case management conference. The

	1	Court will reset the case management conference if necessary once Defendants have been served.
	2	Plaintiff is cautioned that if she fails to properly serve Defendants by October 13, the Court will
	3	issue an order to show cause why the case should not be dismissed without prejudice under
	4	Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(m). The Court again reminds Plaintiff that she filed this case in
	5	her own name and is ultimately responsible for prosecuting it herself.
	6	IT IS SO ORDERED.
	7	Dated: 9/6/2023
	8	Haywood S. Gilly.
	9	HAYWOOD S. GILLIAM, JR. United States District Judge
	10	
	11	
3	12	
	13	
3	14	
1211	15	
3	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	